

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/903,028	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JAYANT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dennis Rosario	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Dennis Rosario, PTO personnel.

(2) Charley F. Brown, applicant's representative.

**Status of Application:** Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 16 June 2006

**Time:** 1200

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 12

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an examiner amendment about increasing the differences for sharpening; however, the examiner found new art that was applicable to the proposed examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) \_\_\_\_\_